


EX-111 OR LATE FILED

96-98



January 22, 2003

Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner

Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

FEE 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Martin:


I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a Competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.



Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.


Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.


Sabrina Ballou
2038 Cascades Cove Drive
Orlando, FL 32820-2238



Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



January 22, 2003

Michael J. Copps, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED
FEB 27 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Copps:

I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.



Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the ‘rules’ - effectively removing consumers’ ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.

a rina Ballou
2038 Cascades Cove Drive
Orlando, FL 32820-2238



Michael J. Copps, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554



January 22, 2003

Kathleen Q. Abemathy, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

confirmed
FEB 27 2003
FCC Center

Dear Commissioner Abemathy:


I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability *to* choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.


Sabrina Ballou
2038 Cascades Cove Drive
Orlando, FL 32820-2238

Kathleen Q. Abernathy, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

10/10/03 10:10:10 AM

RECEIVED
FEB 25 2003

EX-100-001-001-001-001-001

January 22, 2003

Michael K. Powell, Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed
FEB 27 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Chairman Powell:


I am an employee of Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers – key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.


I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my company and its employees like myself.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.



Sabrina Ballou
2038 Cascades Cove Drive
Orlando, FL 32820-2238



Michael K. Powell, Chairman
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

FILED 25 2003



February 1, 2003

Kevin J. Martin, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED
FEB 27 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Martin:

I am an independent sales representative for Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers - key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my livelihood as a sales agent.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.



Charles Brooks
PO Box 1432
Drexel, N.C. 28619


C. Brooks

P.O. Box 1432


Darex, N.C.

28619

Scanned with a scanner • Free here to talk • Self-addressed stamps • ©2001 USPS



Kevin J. Martin
F.C.C.
445 12th St. S.W.
Washington, DC 20554



February 1, 2003

Michael J. Copps, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

Confirmed

FEB 27 2003

Dear Commissioner Copps:

Distribution Center

I am an independent sales representative for Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers - key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my livelihood as a sales agent.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.



Charles Brooks
PO Box 1432
Drexel, N.C. 28619

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
P.O. Box 1432
Drexel, N.C.
28619

DEC 28 1973

Michael J. Capps
F.C.C.
445 12th St. SW
Washington D.C.
20554



27 EX PARTE OR LATE FILED

February 1, 2003

Jonathan S. Adelstein, Commissioner
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th St., SW
Washington, DC 20554

RECEIVED
FEB 27 2003
Distribution Center

Dear Commissioner Adelstein:

I am **an** independent sales representative for Access Integrated Networks, Inc., a competitive local telecommunications provider, and I would like to express my strong support for the continued growth of competition in the local phone service marketplace.

Access and similar companies provide an alternative to the incumbent carrier for local telephone service at very competitive prices. The ability to choose among several options for local phone service has been proven beneficial in fostering competition and reducing local phone bills for residential and small business customers - key goals of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

I understand that the FCC is on the verge of changing the 'rules' - effectively removing consumers' ability to choose their local phone service provider. . .and possibly causing harm to my livelihood as a sales agent.

Please consider the benefits competitive carriers like Access provide to residential and small business customers and do not eliminate the availability of choice in favor of a return to higher prices and a re-monopolization of the local phone service market.

Thank you.



Charles Brooks
PO Box 1432
Drexel, N.C. 28619

